Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/727,566	STAHLER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
CHRISTOPHER M. GROSS	1639	

ı	CHRISTOPHER M. GROSS	1639		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 06 May 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A-no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(l)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE c).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the properties. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
AMENDMENTS	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims		
NOTE: see attached. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.3		otou olamio.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	• **	mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	.			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		-	_	
7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>39,41-55</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☑ Other: see attached.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
/ Christopher S. F. Low / Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1639	Christopher M Gross Examiner Art Unit: 1639			